

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/479,146	01/07/2000	STEPHEN FULD	99-051-TAP	2688
75	590 11/20/2002			
TIMOTHY R SCHULTE STORAGE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION ONE STORAGETEK DRIVE MS 4309 LOUISVILLE, CO 800284309			EXAMINER	
			MASKULINSKI, MICHAEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2184	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

K

		f.e.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
r e	09/479,146	FULD, STEPHEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C Maskulinski	2184				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIC SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	H(S) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
- in the stanta filed on 08	October 2002 .					
This action is <b>FINAI</b> 2b) TI	his action is non-final.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11 and 15</u> is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.					
bound internal control						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-14 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.						
- talana abianted to						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
101 The drawing(s) filed on 07 January 2000 is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)∐ objected	to by the Examiner.				
that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the distribution of the distribution o						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 0.5.6. § ****(c) (to 1)  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
a) The translation of the foreign language  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	4) 🗍 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Àrt Unit: 2184

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 12-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stolowitz, U.S. Patent 6,018,778, and further in view of "Single and Adjacent Double Error Correction System," IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin.

Referring to the limitation "the storage elements are magnetic tape drives or a track of a magnetic tape" of claims 12 and 16, in the Abstract, Stolowitz discloses a disk drive array. Further, in Figure 5, Stolowitz discloses a multiplexer (510) for changing the data from a parallel state to a serial state. However, Stolowitz doesn't explicitly disclose a magnetic tape having data blocks and a parity block in which the data blocks and the parity block are serially arranged on the magnetic tape with the parity block following the data blocks and the parity block being based on the data blocks. The article "Single and Adjacent Double Error Correction System," discloses a magnetic tape that has channels, which are tracks on a magnetic tape. These channels comprise both data and parity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to use a magnetic tape in the redundant storage system of Stolowitz. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification because as indicated in the figure of the article, "Single and Adjacent Double Error Correction System," the data parity coming from the tape is applied to a multiplexer much the same way as the data and parity coming from the disk array in Stolowitz.

Art Unit: 2184

Further, on pages 12-13 and in Figure 5, the Applicant discloses that an obvious variation of the magnetic tape drive is an array of disks. Specifically, on page 13, lines 5-7, the Applicant discloses that *controller 18 writes to and reads from storage elements in the same manner as described with reference to the track of magnetic tape 14 in FIG.*3. Also, the system of Stolowitz is compatible with a tape disk drive because it contains a SCSI bus which is a common interface for devices such as CD-ROM drives and backup tape drives as well as hard disks (see column 4, lines 15-17), and in column 8, lines 32-33, Stolowitz discloses the use of a serial stream when reading from the disk drives which is necessary for a tape drive.

Referring to the remaining limitations in claims 12 and 16:

- a. In lines 6-7, the article "Single and Adjacent Double Error Correction System," discloses that one even parity check bit C(i) is provided within each subgroup S(i) (the parity block following the data block).
- b. In the Abstract, Stolowitz discloses a disk drive array with parity data based upon data blocks and a disk drive array controller that carries out disk drive data transfers.
- c. In column 6, lines 20-22, Stolowitz discloses methods and circuitry for effecting synchronous data transfer to and from an array of disk drives (reading blocks sequentially from respective data storage elements).
- d. In column 8, lines 42-44, Stolowitz discloses reconstructing missing data in the event of any single drive failure (determining if the data block currently being read is good or bad).

Application/Control Number: 09/479,146 Page 4
Art Unit: 2184

e. In column 8, lines 42-44, Stolowitz discloses that the serialized read data stream is passed through an N+1 stage pipeline register—data being entered shifts old data out (providing the data block currently being read to the host if the currently being read data block does not follow a bad data block).

- f. In column 8, lines 50-55, Stolowitz discloses that once the data from the last drive enters the pipeline, the accumulator will be holding the data from the missing drive. This result is transferred to a hold latch, and when the missing word in the pipeline from the failed drive is reached, the contents of the hold latch is substituted in place of the pipeline contents (if one of the data blocks is bad, storing the good data blocks following the bad block in sequential order).
- g. In column 8, lines 42-48, Stolowitz discloses that to reconstruct missing data in the event of any single drive failure, the serialized read data stream is passed through an N+1 stage pipeline register. To begin, a word from the first drive is loaded into an accumulator and into the pipeline. As the next data word enters the pipeline from the next drive, it is XORed with the first word and the result stored in an accumulator.
- h. In column 8, lines 50-55, Stolowitz discloses that once the data from the last drive enters the pipeline, the accumulator will be holding the data from the missing drive (reading the parity block from the magnetic tape after all of the data blocks have been read).
- i. In column 8, lines 48-55, Stolowitz discloses that the accumulating
   process is repeated for each subsequent drive except that data from the failed

Art Unit: 2184

drive is ignored. Once the data from the last (redundant) drive enters the pipeline (reading the parity block from the parity storage element), the accumulator will be holding the data from the missing drive. This result is transferred to a hold latch, and when the missing word in the pipeline from the failed drive is reached, the contents of the hold latch is substituted in place of the pipeline contents (if one of the data blocks is bad, reconstructing the bad data block from the accumulated parity of the good data blocks and the parity block in order to form a reconstructed good data block; providing the reconstructed good data block to the host; and providing the stored good data blocks to the host in sequential order after the reconstructed good data block has been provided to the host).

j. In column 8, lines 42-44, Stolowitz discloses an N+1 stage pipeline register (a buffer for storing the good data blocks read by the controller after the bad data block until the controller reconstructs the bad data block to preserve ordering of the data blocks during reading).

Referring to claim 13, in column 8, lines 42-48, Stolowitz discloses that to reconstruct missing data in the event of any single drive failure, the serialized read data stream is passed through an N+1 stage pipeline register. To begin, a word from the first drive is loaded into an accumulator and into the pipeline. As the next data word enters the pipeline from the next drive, it is XORed with the first word and the result stored in an accumulator (accumulating parity of the good data blocks includes exclusive ORing the parity of the good data blocks read prior to the good data block currently being read with the good data block currently being read).

Art Unit: 2184

Referring to claim 14, in column 8, lines 48-55, Stolowitz discloses that the accumulating process is repeated for each subsequent drive except that data from the failed drive is ignored. Once the data from the last (redundant) drive enters the pipeline, the accumulator will be holding the data from the missing drive. This result is transferred to a hold latch, and when the missing word in the pipeline from the failed drive is reached, the contents of the hold latch is substituted in place of the pipeline contents (reconstructing a bad data block includes exclusive ORing the accumulated parity of the good data blocks and the parity block).

Referring to claim 17, in column 8, lines 42-48, Stolowitz discloses that to reconstruct missing data in the event of any single drive failure, the serialized read data stream is passed through an N+1 stage pipeline register. To begin, a word from the first drive is loaded into an accumulator and into the pipeline. As the next data word enters the pipeline from the next drive, it is XORed with the first word and the result stored in an accumulator (the parity accumulator accumulates parity of the good data blocks by exclusive ORing the parity of the good data blocks read prior to the good data block currently being read with the good data block currently being read).

Referring to claim 18, in column 8, lines 48-55, Stolowitz discloses that the accumulating process is repeated for each subsequent drive except that data from the failed drive is ignored. Once the data from the last (redundant) drive enters the pipeline, the accumulator will be holding the data from the missing drive. This result is transferred to a hold latch, and when the missing word in the pipeline from the failed drive is reached, the contents of the hold latch is substituted in place of the pipeline contents

Art Unit: 2184

(reconstructing a bad data block includes exclusive ORing the accumulated parity of the good data blocks and the parity block).

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent 3,558,811
- U.S. Patent 3,633,162

"Error Detection and Correction" IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2184

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C Maskulinski whose telephone number is (703) 308-6674. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-5 and Fri. 7:30-4 (second Fri.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

MM November 18, 2002

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 8